

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/798,372	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MAN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.	<b>Art Unit</b> 1626	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** 30

(1) Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Max Bachrach (Reg. No. 45,479).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 7 February 2005

**Time:** 2pm

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

13 and 16-21

Prior art documents discussed:


**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Applicants' representative to discuss: (1) a substituent in claim 13 lacking antecedent basis from claim 1; (2) claims 16 and 17 needing "the" before "not"; and (3) claims 18-21 lacking antecedent basis from claim 1. Mr. Bachrach gave the Examiner permission to make the discussed changes.

~~88~~  
2/7/05